

Appendix B

Logic Model Assessment Matrix

Logic Model Assessment Matrix – Selection of Services/Activities and Outcomes and Projections				
	Excellent	Good	Marginally Satisfactory	Unacceptable
Services	Applicant <u>selected</u> services/activities from the drop down list that are consistent with both the NOFA and the Narrative.	Applicant's Narrative identified services/activities consistent with the NOFA, but the drop down list <u>does not</u> contain that service/activity.	Applicant <u>selected</u> services/activities from the drop down list that are inconsistent with the Narrative, <i>or</i> did not select available services/activities from the drop down list that are consistent with the Narrative, <i>or</i> provided Narrative that is inconsistent with the NOFA.	Applicant <u>did not</u> select available services/activities from the drop down list that are consistent with the Narrative, <i>and</i> either the Logic Model is inconsistent with the Narrative <i>or</i> the Narrative is inconsistent with the NOFA.
	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
Outcomes	Applicant <u>selected</u> an outcome from the drop down list that is consistent with both the NOFA and the Narrative.	Applicant's Narrative identified an outcome consistent with the NOFA, but the drop down list does not contain that outcome.	Applicant <u>selected</u> an outcome from the drop down list that is inconsistent with the Narrative, <i>or</i> did not select an available outcome from the drop down list that is consistent with the Narrative.	Applicant <u>did not</u> select an available outcome from the drop down list <i>and</i> either the Logic Model is inconsistent with the Narrative <i>or</i> the Narrative is inconsistent with the NOFA.
	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
Projections	Applicant <u>provided realistic</u> projected numbers that are consistent with the Narrative for <u>all</u> services, activities, and outcomes.	Applicant <u>provided</u> projected numbers for <u>most</u> services, activities, and outcomes, <i>and</i> 50% or more of the projections are both realistic and consistent with the Narrative.	Applicant <u>provided</u> projected numbers for <u>some</u> services, activities, and outcomes, <i>and</i> More than 50% of the projections are not consistent with the Narrative <i>or</i> are not realistic.	Applicant <u>did not</u> provide any projected numbers, <i>or</i> All of the projections are not consistent with the Narrative <i>and</i> they are not realistic.
	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points

Appendix B

Logic Model Assessment Matrix

Logic Model Assessment Matrix – Evaluation Tools			
	Satisfactory	Marginally Satisfactory	Unacceptable
Evaluation Tools	Applicant <u>selected</u> Evaluation Tools that are mostly <u>consistent</u> with the project described in the Logic Model and Narrative.	Applicant <u>selected</u> Evaluation Tools that are mostly <u>inconsistent</u> with <u>either</u> the Logic Model or the Narrative.	Applicant selected Evaluation Tools that are mostly <u>inconsistent</u> with <u>both</u> the Logic Model and Narrative, <u>or both</u> the Logic Model and Narrative are <u>inconsistent</u> with the NOFA.
	1 point	0 point	Deduct 1 point
Logic Model Assessment Matrix – Rating Factor Five Narrative			
Align the criteria in Rating Factor Five to the distribution of points in your evaluation plan that you give to reviewers.			

Instructions

A maximum of 10 points are assigned for evaluating and scoring the logic model.

The Logic Model Assessment Matrix identifies the four components that are to be evaluated when scoring the logic model:

- Row—1—Services.
- Row—2—Outcomes.
- Row—3—Projections.

- Row—4—Evaluation Tools.

There are four possible conditions that describe each component represented by the labels (three conditions for the Evaluation component):

- Excellent.
- Good.
- Marginally Satisfactory.
- Unacceptable.

When reviewing and scoring the logic model, HUD reviewers will choose the one statement in each of the four rows (services, outcomes, projections, evaluation tools) that best describes your evaluation of the logic model and add the assigned points to obtain a total score.

[FR Doc. E8-30600 Filed 12-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-C